

## Challenging hydrological theory and practice

Kettridge, N.; Bradley, Christopher; Hannah, D. M.; Krause, S.

DOI:

[10.2166/nh.2016.001](https://doi.org/10.2166/nh.2016.001)

License:

None: All rights reserved

*Document Version*

Peer reviewed version

*Citation for published version (Harvard):*

Kettridge, N, Bradley, C, Hannah, DM & Krause, S 2016, 'Challenging hydrological theory and practice', *Hydrology Research*, vol. 47, no. 3, pp. 543-544. <https://doi.org/10.2166/nh.2016.001>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

### **Publisher Rights Statement:**

Final publication available online at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2166/nh.2016.001>

Checked 23/8/2016

### **General rights**

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

### **Take down policy**

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact [UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk](mailto:UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk) providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

# Challenging hydrological theory and practice

N. Kettridge<sup>1</sup>, C. Bradley<sup>1</sup>, D.M. Hannah<sup>1</sup>, S. Krause<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK.

An Editorial for Hydrology Research

**Key words:** UK hydrology, British Hydrological Society,

In September 2013, the British Hydrological Society (BHS) National Symposium was held at the University of Birmingham. The BHS brings together academics, researchers and practitioners (regulators and industry) to target critical hydrological issues of national and international significance. The meeting celebrated these strengths with close to 200 participants converging onto campus to challenge current hydrological theory and practice. The meeting explored how the hydrological community can instigate transformative shifts in our conceptualisation and representation of hydrological systems and process interactions and explore new enabling technologies to promote such advances. Presentations and discussions centred around how current theory and practice is challenged by the increasing complexity of multiple environmental pressures and interactions, and how technological innovation and conceptual advancements may be combined to meet increasing societal demands. The symposium recognised the importance of interdisciplinary hydrological research across the sciences and social sciences to target issues of direct relevance to society and environmental sustainability, and the need to effectively engage with and inform decision makers to maximise the exchange and impact of new knowledge. Bi-directional feedbacks and interactions between ecological and hydrological processes within river and terrestrial ecosystems were highlighted alongside the need to address our governance of water to tackle shared hydrological challenges of water supply and demand, pollution/water quality and hazard that often extend beyond regional and national boundaries.

Whilst such trans-/inter-disciplinary research pushed the boundary and scope of what may be considered as 'hydrology', the conference targeted challenges of major significance within

the more traditional sub-disciplines of the hydrological sciences too. For example, several symposium contributions sought to improve understanding of complex hydrological interactions in the ocean-atmosphere-land system cascade. Other process-based research aimed to better predict and mitigate extreme events (droughts and floods), and to explore the increasing pressures on water quality that provide substantial risks for water resource management and ecosystem services. Presentation highlighted the need to manage water quality threats resulting from a complex legacy of (often interacting) point source and diffuse pollution as well as the emergence of new contaminants. Innovative solutions to monitor, model and predict water quality responses to changing environmental conditions were shown. The symposium considered a range of environmental contexts, notably urban spaces that have particular hydrological challenges for researchers and practitioner.

This special issue presents a collection of papers presented at the BHS national symposium that each challenge current hydrological theory and practice. They transcend the research cycle, targeting emerging challenges (Lynch, this issue), the development of sampling designs and monitoring networks (Jackson et al., this issue), new methodologies (Kretzschmar, this issue; Mandeville, this issue), their associated errors and refinements (Kriechbaume this issue; Lennard et al this issue; Spencer and Essery, this issue; Rudd and Kay, this issue) and the communication of associated knowledge and ideas to decision makers (Watts, this issue).

Lynch (this issue) outlines the current status of knowledge regarding nanomaterials fate and transformation in the environment, and the challenges presented by nanomaterials in terms of their detection. The development of a methodology for the design of new hydrological monitoring networks is described and evaluated by Jackson et al. (this issue). Illustrated using the Scotland River Temperature Monitoring Network as a real-world case study, the network design ensures minimal sampling redundancy and high levels of statistical power.

Through the integration of external sensors and sophisticated data post-processing, Kriechbaumer et al. (this issue) show how known limitations to ADCP-based 3D flow quantifications can overcome complex flow environments. Mandeville (this issue) presents a new hydrograph separation technique that highlights the relative importance of ‘slow flow’ storm runoff, which has often been ignored in event-based models. The North Atlantic Oscillation and snow cover in Scotland are linked by Spencer and Essery (this issue) over a

centennial time scale using broad national scale datasets and offering the future potential to plan for heavy snow in advance of a winter season. Kretzschmar et al. (this issue) show that the main characteristics of effective catchment rainfall can be captured by the inversion of streamflow which incorporates the dominant catchment dynamics. Lennard et al. (this issue) highlight the value of long climate series in water resource modelling. They investigate the implications of pre-1920s droughts on water resource management, whilst Rudd and Kay (this issue) estimate current and future potential evapotranspiration across southern Britain. Applying the Penman-Monteith formula driven from Regional Climate Model data, Rudd and Kay (this issue) reproduce observed potential evapotranspiration and discuss the significance of future alterations in canopy resistance. Finally, the invited manuscript of Watts (this issue) addresses the wider engagement and impact of research. It highlights the importance of research in informing good decisions and how such information is translated to decision makers. It recognises the need to respect the expertise of decision makers, and emphasises the role of scientists in providing a balanced view of the current state of knowledge. How researchers can aid this transfer of research into practice is stressed. Notably, the mutual benefits to all involved of engaging practitioners within the early stages of projects, and the importance of producing review papers and participating within professional conferences to inform boundary organisations that bridge the gap between science and policy is highlighted.